# **Final Verification Report**

In respect of the Transaction "VCL 43" (Volkswagen Leasing GmbH)

25 October 2024





## Authorization of SVI as third party

STS Verification International GmbH ("SVI") has been authorized by the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht "BaFin", as the competent authority pursuant to Article 29 of the Securitisation Regulation) to act in all EU countries as third party pursuant to Article 28 of the Securitisation Regulation to verify compliance with the STS Criteria pursuant to Articles 18 to 26e of the Securitisation Regulation ("STS Verification"). Moreover, SVI performs additional services including the verification of compliance of securitisations with (i) Article 243 of the Capital Requirements Regulation (Regulation (EU) 2017/2401 dated 12 December 2017, amending Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and investment firms as amended by Regulation (EU) 2021/558 of 31 March 2021) ("CRR Assessment"), (ii) Article 270 (senior positions in STS on-balance sheet securitisations) of the CRR ("Article 270 Assessment"), (iii) Article 13 of the Delegated Regulation (EU) 2018/1620 on liquidity coverage requirement for credit institutions dated 13 July 2018, amending Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/61 to supplement Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and the Council with regard to liquidity coverage requirements for Credit Institutions ("LCR") ("LCR Assessment"), and (iv) the STS Criteria, in respect of existing securitisations and potential deficiencies regarding compliance with the STS Criteria ("Gap-Analysis").

## Mandating of SVI and verification steps

On 9 July 2024, SVI has been mandated by the Originator (Volkswagen Leasing GmbH) to verify compliance with the STS criteria in relation to non-ABCP securitisations pursuant to Articles 19 to 22 of the Securitisation Regulation for the securitisation transaction "VCL 43" (the Transaction).

As part of our verification work, we have met with representatives of Volkswagen Leasing GmbH ("VWL") and Volkswagen Financial Services AG ("VWFS") to conduct an onsite due diligence meeting ("Due Diligence") in Braunschweig on 7 May 2024. In addition, we have discussed selected aspects of the Transaction with VWL, VWFS and legal counsel and obtained additional information on the transaction structure, the underwriting and servicing procedures of VWL and the underlying transaction documentation.



For the purposes of our analysis, we have reviewed the following documents and other information related to the Transaction:

- Prospectus
- German Legal Opinion
- Receivables Purchase Agreement
- Servicing Agreement
- Swap Agreement
- Account Agreement
- Note Purchase Agreement
- Incorporated Terms Memorandum
- Due Diligence Presentation by VWL/VWFS dated May 2024
- Agreed-upon Procedures Report
- Latest version of the liability cash flow model
- Data Package received by VWL/VWFS
- Additional information received by e-mail, such as confirmations, comments, etc.



# **Verification Methodology**

The fulfilment of each verification point in this Final Verification Report provided to the Originator is evaluated based on the three fulfilment values (traffic light status):

Criterion is fully met	
Criterion is mostly met, but with comments or requests for missing information	
Criterion not (yet) met based on available information	

The verification process is based on the SVI verification manual ("Verification Manual"), defined terms of the Verification Manual shall also apply to this report. It describes the verification process and the individual inspections in detail. The Verification Manual is applicable to all parties involved in the verification process and its application ensures an objective and uniform verification of transactions to be verified. Based on the Verification Manual, SVI has derived the Transaction Verification Catalogue for this Transaction as described under Verification Method in this report. A full description of the methodology used by SVI for the Verification can be found in the Verification Manual on our website: www.svi-gmbh.com.



## **Disclaimer of SVI**

SVI grants a registered verification label "verified – STS VERIFICATION INTERNATIONAL" if a securitisation complies with the requirements for simple, transparent and standardised securitisation as set out in Articles 18 to 26e of the Securitisation Regulation ("STS Requirements"). The aim of the Securitisation Regulation is to restart high-quality securitisation markets, and the intention of implementing a framework for simple, transparent and standardised transactions with corresponding STS criteria shall contribute to this. However, it should be noted that the STS verification performed by SVI does not affect the liability of an originator or special purpose vehicle in respect of their legal obligations under the Securitisation Regulation. Furthermore, the use of verification services from SVI shall not affect the obligations imposed on institutional investors as set out in Article 5 of the Securitisation Regulation. Notwithstanding confirmation by SVI, which verifies compliance of a securitisation with the STS Requirements, such verification by SVI does not ensure the compliance of a securitisation with the general requirements of the Securitisation Regulation.

SVI has carried out no other investigations or surveys in respect of the issuer or the notes concerned other than as set out in this Final Verification Report and disclaims any responsibility for monitoring the issuer's continuing compliance with these standards or any other aspect of the issuer's activities or operations. Furthermore, SVI has not provided any form of advisory, audit or equivalent service to the Originator, Issuer or Sponsor.

SVI is not a legal advisor and nothing in the Final Verification Report shall be regarded as legal advice in any jurisdiction.

Accordingly, the Final Verification Report is only an expression of opinion by SVI after application of its verification methodology and not a statement of fact. It is not a guarantee or warranty that ECB, any of the ESAs or national competent authorities, courts, investors or any other person will accept the STS status of the relevant securitisation. Therefore, no person should rely on the Final Verification Report in determining the STS status but must perform its own analysis and reach its own conclusions.



SVI assumes due performance of the contractual obligation thereunder by each of the parties and the representations made and warranties given in each case by any persons or parties to SVI or in any of the documents are true, not misleading and complete. SVI shall have no liability for any loss of any kind suffered by any person as a result of a securitisation where the Final Verification Report indicated that it met, in whole or in part, the STS Requirements, certain CRR or SRT requirements being held for any reason as not so meeting the relevant requirements or not being able to have lower capital allocated against it save in the case of deliberate fraud by SVI. SVI shall also not have any liability for any action taken or action from which any person has refrained from taking as a result of the Final Verification Report.



# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS/DEFINITIONS

Note: For any other term used in this Final Verification Report in capital spelling, please refer to the defined terms in the Section "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE" in the Prospectus.

AuP	Agreed-upon Procedures
BaFin	Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht (German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority)
CF-Model	Latest version of the liability cash flow model
Closing Date	25 October 2024
Data Package	Data package received by VWL/VWFS
Due Diligence	Due Diligence meeting on 7 May 2024
Due Diligence Presentation	Due Diligence presentation by VWL/VWFS dated May 2024
EBA	European Banking Authority
EBA Guidelines	Final Report on Guidelines on the STS criteria for non-ABCP securitisation, as published by EBA on 12 December 2018
ECB	European Central Bank
EIOPA	European Insurance an Occupational Pensions Authority
ESAs	European supervisory authorities (EBA, EIOPA and ESMA)
ESMA	European Securities and Markets Authority
Final Verification Report	Final Verification Report prepared by SVI in respect of the Transaction
German Opinion	German Legal Opinion
Issuer	VCL 43
ITM	Incorporated Terms Memorandum
MAR	Regulation (EU) 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 on market abuse (Market Abuse Regulation)
Originator	Volkswagen Leasing GmbH
Preliminary Verification Report	Preliminary Verification Report prepared by SVI in respect of the Transaction
Prospectus	Prospectus dated 22 October 2024



RPA	Receivables Purchase Agreement
RTS on Homogeneity	Commission delegated Regulation (EU) 2024/584 amending the regulatory technical standards laid down in Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/1851 as regards the homogeneity of the underlying exposures in simple, transparent and standardised securitisations dated 7 November 2023
RTS on Risk Retention	Commission delegated Regulation (EU) 2023/2175 supplementing Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to regulatory technical standards specifying in greater detail the risk retention requirements for originators, sponsors, original lenders, and servicers dated 7 July 2023
Securitisation Regulation	Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2017 laying down a general framework for securitisation and creating a specific framework for simple, transparent and standardised securitisation, and amending Directives 2009/65/EC, 2009/138/EC and 2011/61/EU and Regulations (EC) No 1060/2009 and (EU) No 648/2012, as amended by Regulation (EU) 2021/557 of 31 March 2021
Seller	Volkswagen Leasing GmbH
Servicer	Volkswagen Leasing GmbH
SolvV	Solvabilitätsverordnung (Solvability Regulation)
SSPE	Securitisation Special Purpose Entity or Issuer
STS Requirements	The requirements for simple, transparent and standardised securitisation in respect of a non-ABCP transaction as set out in Articles 19 to 22 of the Securitisation Regulation
Transaction	The securitisation of auto lease receivables involving VCL 43 as Issuer
Union	The European Union or "EU"
VCL 43	VCL Multi-Compartment S.A., acting for and behalf of its Compartment VCL 43
VCL Master C1	VCL Master S.A., Compartment 1
VWFS	Volkswagen Financial Services AG
VWL	Volkswagen Leasing GmbH
VW Bank	Volkswagen Bank GmbH



# Reference to Article 18 of the Securitisation Regulation

The Transaction provides for a transfer of fixed rate auto lease receivables ("Lease Receivables") from Volkswagen Leasing GmbH ("Originator" and "Servicer", established in Germany) to VCL Multi-Compartment S.A., acting in respect of its Compartment VCL 43 ("Issuer"), a registered securitisation company incorporated under the Laws of Luxembourg. The securitisation transaction will be financed by the issuance of Class A and B Notes which are subscribed by various Noteholders.

As described above, the Originator and SSPE involved in the Transaction are established in the Union. Hence, the requirement that the originator, sponsor and SSPE involved in the Transaction are established in the EU as stipulated in Article 18 of the Securitisation Regulation, is fulfilled for the Transaction.



#	Criterion Article 20 (1)	Verification Report
1	Transfer of title to the underlying exposures by means of a true sale and enforceability of such true sale	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence
		The transfer of title to the underlying exposures occurs between the Originator and the Issuer through a sale and assignment of the Lease Receivables at Closing Date (scheduled for 25 October 2024).
		Subject to various assumptions and qualifications, the German Legal Opinion ("German Opinion") confirms that, upon closing and receipt of the purchase price in accordance with the RPA and in so far as such assets came into existence prior to the commencement of insolvency proceedings in relation to the Seller, the <i>in rem</i> transfer of Lease Receivables, Lease Collateral and identified Leased Vehicles will:
		<ul> <li>be recognised by the competent German courts as being effective to transfer legal title to such Lease Receivables, Lease Collateral and Leased Vehicles to the Issuer pursuant to the terms of the RPA,</li> <li>be binding on the Seller or any third party such as any creditors of the Seller or the VCL Master Security Trustee or an insolvency administrator, and</li> </ul>
		allow for segregation ( <i>Aussonderung</i> ) in any insolvency proceedings of the Seller or the VCL Master Security Trustee provided that with respect to the Lease Vehicles the Issuer will be entitled to separate satisfaction ( <i>Absonderung</i> ) only.
		The German Opinion contains customary assumptions and qualifications with regard to avoidance, claw-back and re-characterisation into secured lending. It describes the realisation right of an insolvency administrator with respect to movable assets in his possession, which were transferred for security purposes, and any rights and claims assigned for security purposes. In this case, the insolvency administrator would be entitled to deduct determination and enforcement fees from the enforcement proceeds.
		The German Opinion contains customary assumptions inter alia as to the solvency of the Parties and the arm's length commercial terms of the transaction documents.
		The contractual framework creating and governing the underlying exposures (i.e. the Lease Contracts and the related general terms and conditions used by VWL) is not covered by the German Opinion or any other external legal memo or in-house confirmation. Instead, the German Opinion relies on the warranties given by VWL pursuant to Clauses 4.1, Items (a) and (g) of the RPA, e.g. with respect to the legally valid, binding and enforceable nature of the underlying Lease Contracts and purchased Lease Receivables, the absence of restrictions against the assignment of Lease Receivables and the existence of the Leased Vehicles.



#	Criterion Article 20 (1)	Verification Report
2	Requirements for the external	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence
	legal opinion	The German Legal Opinion is provided by Hogan Lovells International LLP, a well-known law firm with expertise in the area of securitisation.
		The German Opinion will be issued for the purpose of this Transaction at or around the Closing Date and is therefore up do date.
		The German Opinion has been made available to SVI as third-party verification agent and may be disclosed to any relevant competent authority from among those referred to in Article 29 of Regulation (EU) 2017/2402.
		The exception from the requirement to provide a legal opinion (repeat issuances in standalone securitisation structure or master trusts that use the same legal mechanism for the transfer, including instances in which the legal framework is the same) would apply to but is not used for the Transaction.

#	Criterion Article 20 (2)	Verification Report
3	Specification of <b>severe claw-back provisions</b> : Are there any provisions in the respective national insolvency law, which could allow the insolvency administrator to invalidate the transfer of the underlying exposures?	Verification Method: Legal  The relevant jurisdiction whose insolvency laws are relevant for the Transaction as identified in the Legal Opinion is Germany.  Other than as provided by applicable German insolvency laws in case of transfers which are fraudulent, damaging to creditors or favouring certain creditors, there are no such increased risks. Such provisions are considered non-increased claw-back provisions under Article 20 (3) of the Securitisation Regulation.  Pursuant to Clause 7 "PAYMENT", Subclause 7.2 of the Note Purchase Agreement, VWL will sign at the Closing Date (25 October 2024) a letter confirming the solvency of VWL and the truth and correctness on the Closing Date of the representations and
		warranties contained herein and that the Issuer and VWL have performed all of their respective obligations under the Note Purchase Agreement to be performed on or before the Closing Date.



#	Criterion Article 20 (3)	Verification Report
4	Clarification that certain provisions in the national insolvency laws do not constitute severe claw-back provisions	Verification Method: Legal  The German Opinion includes customary qualifications and exemptions as to provisions in the applicable German insolvency laws which allow for the invalidation of the transfer of the underlying exposures in the case of fraudulent transfers, unfair prejudice to creditors or transfers intended to improperly favour certain creditors over others, or other circumstances that do not constitute severe claw-back provisions (see also above under #3 for severe claw-back provisions).

#	Criterion Article 20 (4)	Verification Report
5	If the sale and transfer is not	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal
	taking place directly between the seller and the SSPE but intermediate sales take place, is the true sale still fulfilled?	Under the Transaction structure used by VWL, VWL has originally sold and assigned the underlying exposures to VCL Master C1. With the consent of VCL Master C1 pursuant to § 185 (1) of the German Civil Code, at Closing Date VWL will sell and assign such underlying exposures to VCL 43 acting as Issuer of the ABS notes to be issued, see Clause 3 in conjunction with Clause 2.2 of the RPA.
		The chosen structure ensures the transition from the warehousing phase to the term take-out and allows the Seller to provide the required warranties and guarantees in respect of the sold and assigned underlying exposures. Given that the Seller is the original lender who sells with the prior permission ( <i>Einwilligung</i> ) of VCL Master C1 the underlying exposures to VCL 43, there is no intermediate sale within the meaning of Article 20 (4) of the Securitisation Regulation and the true sale needs to be confirmed in the legal opinion solely in respect of the transfer between VWL and VCL 43.

#	Criterion Article 20 (5)	Verification Report
6	If the transfer of receivables and the perfection take place at a later stage, are the trigger events in relation to the seller's credit quality standing sufficiently defined?	



;	#	Criterion Article 20 (6)	Verification Report
	7	<b>Representations and warran- ties</b> of the seller regarding to the legal condition of the underlying exposures	The Saller (who is the original lender) warrants that the underlying Lease Contracts are legally valid and hinding agreements, see

#	Criterion Article 20 (7)	Verification Report
8	Predetermined, clear and documented selection criteria ('eligibility criteria') (I/II)	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal
		The underlying exposures transferred from the Seller to the SSPE are selected according to predetermined, clear and documented Eligibility Criteria, see Clause 4 "Warranties by VWL with respect to the Purchased Lease Receivables" of the RPA.
		The Transaction is amortising and does not feature a revolving period according to the RPA and the Prospectus in the Section "Weighted Average Lives of the Notes / Assumed Amortisation of the Purchased Lease Receivables and Notes" and the Cash Flow model provided.
		The Eligibility Criteria for the term take-out are the same as for the initial purchase of the underlying exposures by VCL Master C1 for the purposes of the warehousing. There are no exposures that will be transferred to the SSPE after the Closing Date of the Transaction.

#	Criterion Article 20 (7)	Verification Report
9	Predetermined, clear and documented selection criteria ('eligibility criteria') (II / II)	



#	Criterion Article 20 (7)	Verification Report
10	No active portfolio management	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal
		The Lease Receivables in the provisional and final pool are selected based on a well-established, random selection process.
		In case an underlying exposure should turn out to be not eligible and the interests of the Issuer or Noteholders are materially and adversely affected, VWL has the obligation to either remedy the matter, replace the relevant Purchased Lease Receivable with a new Lease Receivable, or repurchase the underlying exposure, see Clause 4.3 of the RPA.
		Furthermore, the Transaction features a Clean-Up Call option. VWL as the Seller will have the right at its option to exercise the Clean-Up Call and to repurchase the Purchased Lease Receivables from the Issuer at any time when the Aggregate Discounted Receivables Balance is less than 10% of the Aggregate Cut-off Date Discounted Receivables Balance provided that all payment obligations under the Notes will thereby be fulfilled (please refer to Clause 6 "Early Settlement/Clean-Up Call" of the RPA).
		The above-described instances that allow for a repurchase of underlying exposures fall under the individual techniques of portfolio management listed in the EBA Guidelines that should not be considered active portfolio management (e.g. breach of representations or warranties and the exercise of clean-up call options).
		Generally, the above described repurchase mechanism used in the Transaction (a) does not make the performance of the Transaction dependent both on the performance of the underlying exposures and on the performance of the portfolio management, and (b) is not performed for speculative purposes aiming to achieve better performance, increased yield, overall financial returns or other purely financial or economic benefit.
		As a result of the above, the criterion "no active portfolio management" is fulfilled.

#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
11	Securitisation of a homogeneous	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal
	portfolio in terms of asset type	The underlying exposures fall into the asset type according to Art. 1 (a) (v) of the RTS on Homogeneity (i.e. auto loans and leases).
		The Seller has chosen the homogeneity factor according to Art. 2 (4) (b) of RTS on Homogeneity, i.e. jurisdiction, whereby the pool shall consist of underlying exposures relating to obligors with residence in one jurisdiction (Germany) only, since obligors, according to the Eligibility Criteria, can be corporate entities having their registered office or individuals having their place of residence in Germany, see Clause 4.1, Item (k) of the RPA.



#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
12	Securitisation of a homogeneous	<u>Verification Method</u> : Due Diligence
	portfolio in terms of underwriting and servicing	The underlying exposures have been originated in accordance with consistent underwriting standards, as presented in the Due Diligence and further described in #17 and #18. No distinction is made between securitised and non-securitised receivables. The processes assure that only lessees being resident in Germany are originated according to the underwriting policy, as also described in #11 and #13.
		The same applies to the servicing policy, with the underlying exposures being serviced using consistent standards and no distinction being made between securitised and non-securitised receivables.

#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
13	portfolio in terms of homogeneity	
		The Lease Contracts have been entered into exclusively with Lessees which have their registered office (for corporate entities) or place of residency (for individuals) in Germany, please refer to Clause 4.1, Item (k) of the RPA.
		Additionally, the homogeneity factor "residence in Germany" is part of the Eligibility Criteria Verification. No deviation has been detected in the AuP Report (see #40).

#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
14	The underlying exposures contain	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence
	obligations that are contrac- tually binding and enforceable	Clause 4.1, Item (a) in conjunction with Clause 4.1, Item (g) of the RPA contains warranties by the Seller as to the legally valid, binding and enforceable nature of the underlying exposures, i.e. the Lease Contracts (which term includes by definition the general terms and conditions – see Section "Master Definitions Schedule" of the Prospectus). Please also refer to #1.
		According to Section "DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO", Subsection "The Purchased Lease Receivables under the Receivables Purchase Agreement" of the Prospectus, the Purchased Lease Receivables include the monthly payments for the use of the related Leased Vehicles with full recourse against the respective Lessees.



#	Criterion Article 20 (8)	Verification Report
15	The underlying exposures have	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence / Data
	transferable securities other than unlisted corporate bonds	The underlying exposures for the Transaction represent standard auto lease agreements originated by VWL in respect of commercial and private clients. For the purposes of the Transaction, the type of contract which forms part of the securitised portfolio is the Closed End Lease Contract.
		Hence, the Lease Contracts do not differ structurally in terms of payment streams or other characteristics, see Section "DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO", Subsection "The Purchased Lease Receivables under the Receivables Purchase Agreement" of the Prospectus and as also shown in the Due Diligence Presentation.
		The underlying exposures represent the finance portion (itself comprising a claim against the lessees in respect of principal and interest, see definition of "Lease Receivable" in the Section "Master Definitions Schedule" of the Prospectus) paid by the lessee during the term of the lease contract and have defined periodic payment streams during that term. The residual value portion does not form part of the underlying exposures, see Section "TRANSACTION OVERVIEW", Subsection "ASSETS AND COLLATERAL", Item "Lease Receivables" of the Prospectus.
		The Eligibility Criteria restrict the underlying exposures to Lease Receivables originated under a Lease Contract, thereby eliminating any transferable security from the portfolio. Please refer to Section 4 "WARRANTIES BY VWL WITH RESPECT TO THE PURCHASED LEASE RECEIVABLES", Clause 4.1 of the RPA. The compliance of the final pool with the eligibility criteria has been verified through the Eligibility Criteria Verification (see #40).

#	Criterion Article 20 (9)	Verification Report
16	Are there any securitisation positions in the portfolio?	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence / Data
		The Eligibility Criteria restrict the underlying exposures to Lease Receivables originated under a Lease Contract, thereby assuring that no securitisation position may become part of the portfolio. Please refer to Section 4 "WARRANTIES BY VWL WITH RESPECT TO THE PURCHASED LEASE RECEIVABLES", Clause 4.1 of the RPA. The compliance of the final pool with the eligibility criteria has been verified through the Eligibility Criteria Verification (see #40).
		Furthermore, the origination and/or resale of securitisation positions is not part of the business model of the Originator and not permitted under the Originator's underwriting policy.



#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
17	Origination of underlying	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence
	exposures in the ordinary course of business of the originator or the original lender	Volkswagen Leasing GmbH is a market leading auto leasing company in Germany, active since 1966. Organisation and business processes have been developed over decades as part of the (until 2017) ECB regulated Volkswagen Financial Services AG.
		As presented and discussed in the Due Diligence, the car dealers form an integral part of the origination process with sales representatives acting as agents for the Originator.
		Accordingly, the business procedures assure that securitised exposures have been originated in the ordinary course of business and in accordance with uniform standards. Deviations from the underwriting policy are only permissible in well-defined and documented instances. The underlying exposures are selected for securitisation using a random selection process.
		The underlying exposures are similar to the non-securitised lease receivables in the asset type "auto loans and leases" (see definition of "similar exposures" in the EBA Guidelines) due to the strictly random selection process. Please also refer to the Section "BUSINESS PROCEDURES OF VOLKSWAGEN LEASING GMBH" of the Prospectus.
		Since no lease receivables will be transferred to the Issuer after the Closing Date, no obligation to disclose material changes to the underwriting policy after the closing of the Transaction applies.

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
18	<b>Underwriting standards</b> for	<u>Verification Method</u> : Due Diligence
	securitised exposures are no less stringent than those applied to similar non-securitised exposures	As presented and discussed in the Due Diligence, no distinction is made between securitised and non-securitised exposures in any respect, be it applicable regulatory standards, competence grid and involvement of decision-makers, distribution channels, product types and product characteristics, annual agreements on (sales) objectives, sales management measures and bonus systems, lending standards, approval processes and incentive measures, credit processing, dunning procedures, debt collection, realisation of collateral, customer service, outsourcing of sales, underwriting and servicing activities or areas of risk controlling, accounting and reporting(except for the required reporting of ABS transactions).
		Employees of the Originator or sales staff of the car dealers involved in the underwriting do not know whether a risk position currently being processed for application will be securitised at a later stage or not.



#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
19	Where the underlying exposures are <b>residential mortgage loans</b> , does the portfolio include <b>loans that have been self-certified</b> by the loan applicants?	The Eligibility Criteria restrict the underlying exposures to Lease Receivables under auto Lease Contracts – therefore, residential

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
20	Assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness performed in accordance with certain EU Directives on credit agreements for consumers or on credit agreements for consumers relating to residential immovable property or, if applicable, the analogous provisions of a third country	VWL is a financial services institution ( <i>Finanzdienstleistungsinstitut</i> ) according to § 1 (1a) German Banking Act. As such, the Originator is supervised by BaFin as competent supervisory authority, and as part of the supervised group of VW Bank, it is also supervised by the ECB. As a precaution VWL performs the "Assessment of the borrower's creditworthiness" with respect to Lease Contracts with consumers in accordance with Article 8 of Directive 2008/48/EU.

#	Criterion Article 20 (10)	Verification Report
21	<b>Originator's experience</b> (as an entity or through management and senior staff) in origination of similar risk positions	



;	#	Criterion Article 20 (11)	Verification Report
2	22	The underlying exposures are	
		transferred without undue delay after selection	The date of the final pool cut is 30 September 2024. The transfer of the final pool will occur at the Closing Date (25 October 2024), i.e. without undue delay.

#	Criterion Article 20 (11)	Verification Report
23	The underlying exposures do not	<u>Verification Method</u> : Regulatory / Legal / Due Diligence / Data
	include any defaulted exposures or to debtors/ guarantors with impaired creditworthiness	The Originator is not an institution subject to Regulation (EU) 575/2013. However, it does apply the requirements of Art. 178 (1) CRR by analogy and to the extent that this does not cause an effort which is unduly burdensome, subject to German law (see Clause 4.1, Item (s) (i) of the RPA and please also refer to #1).
		The Originator warrants that the underlying exposures will not include Lease Receivables relating to exposures in default (i.e. lessees who are past due more than 90 days on any material obligation to VWL or who VWL considers as unlikely to pay their obligations to VWL) (see Clause 4.1, Item (s) (i) of the RPA).
		Furthermore, the underlying exposures will <u>not</u> include Lease Receivables relating to a credit-impaired lessee or guarantor who (1) has been declared insolvent or had a court grant his creditors a final non-appealable right of enforcement or material damages as a result of a missed payment within three years prior to the date of origination or has undergone a debt-restructuring process with regard to his non-performing exposures within three years prior to the date of transfer of the Purchased Receivable to the SSPE; (2) was, at the time of origination, where applicable, on a public credit registry of persons with adverse credit history or, where there is no such public credit registry, another credit registry that is available to VWL; or (3) has a credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not being made is significantly higher than for comparable receivables held by the Originator which are not securitised (please refer to Clause 4.1, Item (s) (ii) of the RPA).
		The Originator represents, with regards to the question which sources of information it has used to identify defaulted exposures and to determine if a borrower or guarantor is credit-impaired, that it has obtained information (1) from the lessee on origination of the exposures, (2) in the course of VWL's servicing of the exposures or VWL's risk management procedures, or (3) from a third party, see Clause 4.1, Item (s) (ii) of the RPA. This is in line with the 'best knowledge' standard stipulated within Section 4.5 in the EBA Guidelines EBA/GL/2018/09.



Lessees and guarantors (i) declared insolvent and/or undergone a debt-restructuring process, or (ii) found on a public or other credit registry of persons with adverse credit history are generally not eligible according to the underwriting policy, as discussed in the Due Diligence.

The Originator has IT systems in place to ensure that defaulted exposures or exposures to lessees/guarantors with impaired creditworthiness are excluded from the pool cut as of the time of the selection.

#	Criterion Article 20 (11)	Verification Report
24	The risk positions do not have a	Verification Method: Due Diligence
	credit assessment or a credit score that allows a significantly higher default risk to be expected than for non-securitised risk positions	The most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures in the securitised portfolio are the customer profile and credit bureau information (for Private Leasing), credit agencies' information and financial information (for Commercial Leasing) and past payment behaviour (for both). All of these factors have an impact on the credit score. Furthermore, the expected performance of the underlying exposures depends on several factors (but not limited to) such as model, mileage, engine, powertrain as well as general market conditions.
		These factors are the same for securitised and non-securitised exposures due to the strictly random selection process.
		On this basis, it can be reasonably assumed that – in comparison to non-securitised exposures – no worse performance should occur for securitised exposures for the term of the Transaction.
		The requirement that the underlying exposures do not have a "credit assessment or a credit score indicating that the risk of contractually agreed payments not being made is significantly higher than for comparable receivables held by the Originator which are not securitised" is considered to be met based on the following: (i) the underlying exposures do not include exposures that are classified as doubtful, impaired, non-performing or similar, and (ii) the strictly random selection process.



;	#	Criterion Article 20 (12)	Verification Report
2	25	At the time of the transfer, the	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Data
		debtor has paid at least one instalment	The Originator warrants that on the Cut-Off Date at least two (2) lease instalments have been paid in respect of each Lease Contract, see Clause 4.1, Item (I) of the RPA.
			The asset audit, whereby the audit company performs certain Agreed-upon Procedures with respect to the compliance of the underlying exposures in a randomly selected sample (please also refer to #40, Article 22 (2)), covers the above-mentioned eligibility criterion.

#	Criterion Article 20 (13)	Verification Report
26	The repayment of the securi-	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence / Data
	tisation position should <b>not be</b> predominantly dependent on  the sale of assets securing the  underlying exposures	Considering the underlying exposures and the Transaction's structure, the repayment of the securitisation positions does not depend on the sale of assets but just on the capacity of the borrower to pay back the lease.
		This is achieved mainly by the fact that the residual value portion of the Lease Contracts, which bears the potential risk that the value of the underlying vehicle fluctuates, does <u>not</u> form part of the underlying exposures (please also refer to #15 and Article 20 (8) of the Securitisation Regulation).



#	Criterion Article 21 (1)	Verification Report
27	Risk retention (Art. 6.1 of the Securitisation Regulation), usually by the Originator	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence
		Volkswagen Leasing GmbH as the Seller and Originator will act as holder of the risk retention (Retention Holder) and retain on an ongoing basis a material net economic interest of not less than 5% of the securitised exposures, see Section "RISK FACTORS", Subsection IV. "Risks related to regulatory changes", Paragraph "Risk retention and due diligence requirements" of the Prospectus.
		The type of risk retention will be a net economic interest through an interest in randomly selected exposures, which has been and will be equivalent to no less than 5% of the nominal value of the securitised exposures, where such non-securitised exposures would otherwise have been securitised in the Transaction, on an ongoing basis for the life of the Transaction, in accordance with Article 6 (3) (c) of Securitisation Regulation and as specified in more detail in Article 8 of the RTS on Risk Retention, see Section "RISK FACTORS", Subsection "IV. Risks related to regulatory changes", Paragraph "Risk retention and due diligence requirements" of the Prospectus.
		The Seller does select the risk retention pool and does earmark the selected receivables in its IT systems in a similar way as the receivables that have actually been sold in the Transaction. The procedures to select and earmark receivables both for the retention pool cut and for the actual sale are documented and well established. In addition, they are subject to regular internal and external auditing procedures. The same applies for the ongoing monthly reporting procedures, as confirmed during the Due Diligence.
		The Monthly Report will also set out monthly confirmation regarding the continued holding the original retained exposures by the Seller, in its capacity as Originator.

#	Criterion Article 21 (2)	Verification Report
28	Appropriate hedging of interest rate and currency risks, no derivatives as underlying risk positions (I / II)	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence
		Since the Lease Receivables are fixed rate and the Class A and Class B Notes are floating rate, interest rate risks arise from such mismatch. Both assets and liabilities of the Issuer are EUR denominated hence no currency risk occurs.
		Interest rate risk is hedged appropriately with fixed-floating interest rate swaps (one swap for each of the Class A Notes and the Class B Notes) where the swap notional is always equal to the outstanding notes' balance. Both the swap agreements and the Class A and Class B Notes contain a floor of zero for the 1-M-Euribor plus spread, hence the hedging is appropriate.
		No further risks in addition to interest rate risks are hedged under the interest rate hedge agreements.



#	Criterion Article 21 (2)	Verification Report
29	Appropriate hedging of interest rate and currency risks, no derivatives as underlying risk positions (II / II)	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal
		The legal instruments used by the Issuer to hedge interest rate risks are the Swap Agreements, see Section "SWAP AGREEMENT" of the Prospectus.
		Both agreements do consider any potential asset liability mismatch by referencing to the outstanding notes balance, and both agreements are based on the 2002 ISDA Master Agreement as established market standard, see the definition of "Swap Agreement" in the Section "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE", of the Prospectus.
		The requirements for eligible swap counterparties are market standard in international finance (investment grade rated by Moody's at least "A3" or "Baa3" in this case or respectively rated by Fitch at least "A" or "BBB-"), see Section "SWAP AGREEMENTS AND SWAP COUNTERPARTY" as well as the definition of "Eligible Swap Counterparty" in the Section "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE" of the Prospectus.

#	Criterion Article 21 (3)	Verification Report
30	Generally used <b>reference rates</b> for interest payments	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence
		No reference rates apply to the Purchased Lease Receivables which bear fixed interest rates.
		The Notes will bear interest at floating rates based on 1-M-Euribor, see Section "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE CLASS A NOTES", Subsection 7 "Payments of Interest" and Schedule 2 "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE CLASS B NOTES", Clause 7 "Payment of Interest" of the Prospectus, constituting a market standard reference rate.
		The interest for the Accounts will be based on €STR, also constituting a market standard reference rate.
		Currency hedges are not provided as both the Purchased Lease Receivables and the Class A and Class B Notes are denominated in EUR.



#	Criterion Article 21 (4)	Verification Report
31	Requirements in the event of an enforcement or delivery of an acceleration notice	Verification Method: Legal
		After the occurrence of an Enforcement Event, the priority of payments will change from the "Order of Priority" in accordance with Part E. "Accounts; Order of Priority", Clause 22.2, Item (a) to the "Order of Priority" in accordance with Part E. "Accounts; Order of Priority", Clause 22.2, Item (c) (following the occurrence of an Enforcement Event) of the Prospectus and the following conditions will be fulfilled according to the Transaction documents:
		a) No cash will be retained with the Issuer, see Part E. "Accounts; Order of Priority", Clause 22.2, Item (c) of the Prospectus.
		b) The principal receipts from the underlying exposures will be used for the fully sequential amortisation of the securitisation positions, see Part E. "Accounts; Order of Priority", Clause 22.2, Item (c) of the Prospectus.
		c) Interest and principal payments are first made for the Class A Notes and then interest and principal payments are made for the Class B Notes, hence repayments are not reversed with regard to their seniority.
		d) No automatic liquidation or sale of risk positions or assets is provided for.



#	Criterion Article 21 (5)	Verification Report
32	Sequential repayment as fall-back in the event of a deterioration in portfolio quality for Transactions that feature a non-sequential priority of payments	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal
		The amortisation structure does provide for a pro-rata amortisation subject to the performance of the underlying portfolio and after the initial credit enhancement has increased to the required levels.
		The amortisation concept is based on the Class A/B Principal Payment Amounts, the Class A/B Targeted Note Balances, the Class A/B Targeted Overcollateralisation Amounts and the Class A/B Targeted Overcollateralisation Percentages, see Section "OVERVIEW OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE NOTES", Paragraph "Principal Payment Amounts" and the respective definitions in the Section "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE" of the Prospectus.
		Performance triggers specifying if and to what extent a pro-rata amortisation can occur are based on the cumulative net losses as specified in the Level 1 Credit Enhancement Increase Condition and the Level 2 Credit Enhancement Increase Condition, see the respective definitions in the Section "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE" of the Prospectus.
		Upon occurrence of a Level 1 Credit Enhancement Increase Condition the required credit enhancement allowing for pro rata amortisation does increase, upon occurrence of a Level 2 Credit Enhancement Increase Condition the amortisation switches back to fully sequential.
		The occurrence of a Level 1 or 2 Credit Enhancement Increase Condition is not reversible, see the definition of "Class A Targeted Overcollateralization Percentage" in the Section "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE" of the Prospectus.
		As a result of the above, the amortisation mechanism complies with Article 21 (5) of the Securitisation Regulation.



#	Criterion Article 21 (6)	Verification Report
33	<b>Early amortisation provisions</b> or triggers for termination of the revolving phase to include at least the following:	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal
		The requirements in relation to the early amortisation provisions do not apply to the Transaction as the Transaction does not feature a revolving period.
	a) deterioration in the credit quality of the underlying exposures below a predefined threshold	Not applicable.
	b) insolvency-related events in relation to the Originator or the Servicer	Not applicable.
	c) decline in value of the under- lying exposures below a pre- defined threshold	Not applicable.
	d) failure to generate sufficient new underlying exposures for replenishments under revol- ving Transactions	Not applicable.



#	Criterion Article 21 (7)	Verification Report
34	Clear rules in the Transaction documentation regarding obligations, tasks and responsibilities of the Servicer, trustees and other ancillary service providers	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal
		The Servicing Agreement provides for a clear specification of the contractual obligations, duties and responsibilities of the Servicer, especially with regard to the servicing, monitoring, reporting and monthly advances to mitigate for commingling risk, as well as the provisions for a potential replacement in case of a Servicer Replacement Event, please refer to the summary of the Servicing Agreement in Section "ADMINISTRATION OF THE PURCHASED LEASE RECEIVABLES UNDER THE SERVICING AGREEMENT" of the Prospectus.
		Similar provisions for the obligations, duties and responsibilities are provided for the following parties, see the respective descriptions in the Prospectus:
		<ul> <li>Security Trustee (please refer to the Section "TRUST AGREEMENT" of the Prospectus); and</li> <li>Account Bank (please refer to the Section "THE OTHER PARTIES" of the Prospectus and to the Account Agreement); and</li> <li>Cash Administrator (please refer to the Section "THE OTHER PARTIES" of the Prospectus and to the Agency Agreement); and</li> <li>Calculation Agent (please refer to the Section "THE OTHER PARTIES" of the Prospectus and to the Agency Agreement); and</li> <li>Paying Agent (please refer to the Section "THE OTHER PARTIES" of the Prospectus and to the Agency Agreement); and</li> <li>Interest Determination Agent (please refer to the Section "THE OTHER PARTIES" of the Prospectus and to the Agency Agreement); and</li> <li>Corporate Services Provider (please refer to the Section "THE OTHER PARTIES" of the Prospectus and to the Corporate Services Agreement).</li> </ul>
		Also, detailed provisions exist for the obligations, duties and responsibilities of the Swap Counterparty as well as for its replacement (see Section "SWAP AGREEMENTS AND SWAP COUNTERPARTY" and the definition of "Eligible Swap Counterparty" in Section "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE" of the Prospectus).
		Similar replacement provisions exist for the Account Bank, see Clause 15 "CHANGE OF ACCOUNT BANK AND/OR CASH ADMINISTRATOR", Subclause 15.1 of the Account Agreement.



#	Criterion Article 21 (8)	Verification Report
35	Experience of the Servicer (management and senior staff) in the servicing of exposures of a similar nature to those securitised	<u>Verification Method</u> : Regulatory / Legal / Due Diligence
		Volkswagen Leasing GmbH is a regulated financial services institution according to § 1 German Banking Act, with BaFin as regulatory authority.
		The Prospectus contains information on the experience of VWL as a Seller and Servicer. VWL has been successfully doing securitisations of lease receivables since the year 1996 and the management has sufficient experience.
		The experience of the Managements Board and Senior Staff is summarised in Section "BUSINESS AND ORGANISATION OF VOLKSWAGEN LEASING GMBH", Subsection "Origination, Servicing and Securitisation Expertise" of the Prospectus and has been confirmed in the Due Diligence.
		As a result, VWL as servicer is deemed to have the relevant expertise as an entity being active as servicer of lease receivables for over 50 years and as servicer of lease receivables securitisations for more than 25 years (please refer to Section "BUSINESS AND ORGANISATION OF VOLKSWAGEN LEASING GMBH", Subsection "Origination, Servicing and Securitisation Expertise" of the Prospectus). No contrary findings were observed in the Due Diligence.

#	Criterion Article 21 (8)	Verification Report
36	Appropriate and well documented risk management and service policies, procedures and controls in place at the Servicer	Ac a recult of the regulatory status (see also above under #25) VMI, has well established procedures with regard to risk



#	Criterion Article 21 (9)	Verification Report
37	Clear and coherent definitions,	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence
37	Clear and coherent definitions, regulations and possible measures with regard to the servicing of non-performing exposures, specification of the priorities of payment	Verification Method: Legal / Due Diligence  The description of the business procedures of VWL (see Section "BUSINESS PROCEDURES OF VOLKSWAGEN LEASING GMBH" of the Prospectus) and the Servicing Agreement (as summarised in the Section "ADMINISTRATION OF THE PURCHASED LEASE RECEIVABLES UNDER THE SERVICING AGREEMENT" of the Prospectus) contain a description of procedures related to servicing of the lease receivables:  Negotiation of the Lease Contract and Appraisal of the Creditworthiness of the lessee  Debts Management  Termination of Lease Contracts  Enforcement  Write-Off  Internal Audit  The loss definition used in the Transaction is based on the Write-off (see the definitions of "Write-off" and "Written-Off Purchased Lease Receivables" in Section "MASTER DEFINITIONS SCHEDULE" of the Prospectus,) and this definition is consistently used in the Prospectus, especially with respect to the Cumulative Net Loss Ratio, which in turn is used in the Level 1 and 2 Credit Enhancement Increase Condition determining the amortisation mechanism in the order of priorities of payments.  The Transaction documents clearly specify the Order of Priority (prior to the occurrence of an Enforcement Event and following the occurrence of an Enforcement Event, please refer to the Section "Trust Agreement", Subsection "Part E. Accounts; Order of Priority" of the Prospectus.  The monthly investor reports include inter alia the Cumulative Net Loss Ratio and the status of the Level 1 and 2 Credit Enhancement Increase Conditions, see Section "GENERAL INFORMATION", Subsection "Inspection of Documents" of the Prospectus.  The procedures presented and discussed in the Due Diligence correspond to the description in the Prospectus and no contrary
		findings could be observed.



#	Criterion Article 21 (10)	Verification Report
3	Clear rules in the event of conflicts between the different classes of noteholders	Verification Method: Regulatory / Legal  The Notes will be issued on the basis of the German Debenture Act (Schuldverschreibungsgesetz - SchVG), see Sections "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE CLASS A NOTES" and "TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE CLASS B NOTES" of the Prospectus, Subsection "Miscellaneous" for each class of Notes, enabling Noteholders to take resolutions within one class of Notes.

#	Criterion Article 22 (1)	Verification Report
39	Provision of historical perfor-	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence / Data
	mance data before pricing	The historical performance data provided by the Originator include the following areas:
		a) <b>Losses</b> (i.e. cumulative net losses after recoveries) in static format (covering the period from January 2019 until June 2024)
		It should be noted that these net losses, referred to and defined as "Write-Offs" in the Prospectus as loss definition in the transaction, have been provided by the Originator in a detailed and consistent manner for the overall portfolio of substantially similar leased receivables covering a meaningful period of the credit cycle. The approach using write-off data is consistent with the business procedures of the Originator and the well documented processes for servicing of non-performing lease receivables until the point of write-off.
		(https://www.vwfs.com/investor-relations/volkswagen-leasing-gmbh/refinancing.html#)
		b) <b>Losses</b> (i.e. net losses after recoveries as nominal values and as loss ratio) in <u>dynamic</u> format (covering the period from March 2014 until June 2024 on a quarterly basis)
		c) <b>Delinquencies</b> in dynamic format, as nominal values in total and for different aging buckets (1-30 days, 31-60 days, 61-90 days, 91-120 days, 121-150 days, 151-180 days, >180 days, >90 days; covering the period from January 2014 until June 2024)
		The data history, which is provided prior to pricing, covers a substantially longer period than the minimum of at least 5 years required under Article 22 (1) of the Securitisation Regulation, see Section "DESCRIPTION OF THE PORTFOLIO", Subsection "HISTORICAL PERFORMANCE DATA" of the Prospectus.
		Given that the most relevant factors determining the expected performance of the underlying exposures in the securitised portfolio, namely the factors described in #23, are the same to the overall portfolio for which the above-mentioned historical performance data have been procured, comparability between the securitised portfolio and the Originator's overall portfolio ("substantially similar exposures") is ensured.



#	Criterion Article 22 (2)	Verification Report
40	Performance of an <b>asset audit</b> on the basis of a sample and defined audit steps (Agreed upon Procedures, AuP) by an external independent party	Verification Method: Data
		The Originator has mandated a qualified and experienced audit firm to perform the asset audit followed by the audit firm. The asset audit and the AuP include the following:
		a) a verification of the consistency of the information of the underlying exposures selected from the Seller's IT System with the information shown in the original lease documentation or copies thereof (the " <b>Pool Data Verification</b> ");
		b) a verification of the compliance of the underlying exposures in the portfolio with the key Eligibility Criteria (the "Eligibility Criteria Verification"); and
		c) verification that the data disclosed to investors in the Prospectus in respect of the underlying exposures is accurate (the "Prospectus Data Verification").
		The sample drawn for the <b>Pool Data Verification</b> is representative of the securitised portfolio, based on the pool cut dated 10 July 2024. This is ensured by a sufficiently large sample and random selection, applying at least a 95% confidence level. The final report prepared by the audit firm with regards to the Pool Data Verification has been made available to SVI on 19 August 2024. The final report confirms that the Pool Data Verification has occurred and that no significant adverse findings have been found.
		The <b>Prospectus Data Verification</b> and the <b>Eligibility Criteria Verification</b> have been performed by the audit firm based on the final pool cut as of 30 September 2024. Both verifications have been based on all underlying exposures (lease level data) and the scope has comprised:
		<ul> <li>i. verification that the Eligibility Criteria that are included in the Transaction Documents (see Section "Warranties and Guarantees in relation to the Sale of the Purchased Lease Receivables" of the Prospectus) are fulfilled in the technical selection process for the final pool cut,</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>ii. information in the stratification tables (see Section "Description of the Lease Contracts, Lease Receivables, Leased Vehicles and Lessees at the Cut-Off Date", Tables 1 21. of the Prospectus) correspond to the final pool cut and</li> <li>iii. the calculation on Weighted Average Lives of the Notes (see Section "Weighted Average Lives of the Notes", of the Prospectus) is correct.</li> </ul>
		The final reports prepared by the audit firm have been made available to SVI on 22 October 2024. The reports confirm that the Prospectus Data Verification and the Eligibility Criteria Verification have occurred and that no adverse findings have been found.
		Please note that, for the purpose of compliance with the requirements of Article 22 (2) of the Securitisation Regulation, the AuP can be based on either the preliminary or the final pool cut.



#	Criterion Article 22 (3)	Verification Report
41	Provision of a precise liability	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Data
	cash flow model to the investors prior to pricing by the Originator; "precise" refers to the possibility	A CF-Model has been prepared by Bloomberg on behalf of the Originator. The CF-Model is provided as a web-based tool and can be accessed via <a href="http://www.bloomberg.com">http://www.bloomberg.com</a> .
	for the investor to calculate the amortisation rate and, based on this, the pricing of the securitisation position	SVI has been provided with excel based extracts of the CF-model for the VCL 43 transaction prepared by Bloomberg in order to perform the steps necessary to verify the compliance under Article 22 (3) of the Securitisation Regulation. It should be noted that the statements below do reflect the result of SVI's review of the functionality of the CF-Model and can be considered as a check of plausibility, however no assurance can be given that the CF-Model does calculate correctly in each and every scenario.
		SVI performed plausibility checks of the CF-Model extracts, which reflect the contractual relationships and cash flows from and to the securitised portfolio, Classes A to B Notes, the Seller as well as other parties involved (like hedging cash flows and reserve accounts). A range of different scenarios can be modelled, including but not limited to prepayments, delinquencies, defaults (gross losses) and expenses. A subset of three scenario has been provided to SVI and they effectively reflect the impact of different assumptions on the cash flows of the Transaction.
		The CF-Model has been made available prior to pricing. The Originator undertakes to provide potential investors with the CF Model upon request.



#	Criterion Article 22 (4)	Verification Report
42	For residential mortgage loan and auto loan/auto leasing portfolios: publication of information on the environmental performance of the assets financed by such underlying exposures (energy performance certificates)  Alternatively: publication of the available information related to the principal adverse impacts of the assets financed by such underlying exposures on sustainability factors	Verification Method: Legal / Due Diligence  The Originator has confirmed that information on the environmental performance of the assets financed by such underlying exposures (in this case: auto leases) is not captured in its internal database or IT systems and hence not available for reporting in this Transaction.



#	Criterion Article 22 (5)	Verification Report
43	Compliance with the provisions of	<u>Verification Method</u> : Legal / Due Diligence
	Art. 7 of the Securitisation Regulation (regarding <b>Transparency</b> ) is the responsibility of the Originator or Sponsor	For the purposes of Article 7 (2) of the Securitisation Regulation, Volkswagen Leasing GmbH as the Servicer is designated as the entity responsible for compliance with the requirements of Article 7, see Section "IV. RISKS RELATED TO REGULATORY CHANGES" in the Section "RISK FACTORS" and Section "ARTICLE 7 AND ARTICLE 22 OF THE SECURITISATION REGULATION" of the Prospectus.
		The Servicer as the Originator warrants that it will fulfil the provisions of Article 7 of the Securitisation Regulation as follows (see in this regard Subsection "IV. RISKS RELATED TO REGULATORY CHANGES" in the Section "RISK FACTORS" and Section "ARTICLE 7 AND ARTICLE 22 OF THE SECURITISATION REGULATION" of the Prospectus):
		• Art. 7 (1) (a): Loan level data have been made available prior to pricing and then will be made available on a monthly basis.
		• Art. 7 (1) (b): The relevant Transaction documents in draft form have been made available prior to pricing and will be made available in final form within 15 days after the Closing Date.
		• Art. 7 (1) (c): Not applicable.
		• Art. 7 (1) (d): In accordance with the RTS for notification, the notification has been provided to investors in draft form prior to pricing and will be provided in final form on or around Closing Date.
		• Art. 7 (1) (e): The investor report will be made available for the first time on the payment date one month after the Closing Date and then on a monthly basis.
		Art. 7 (1) (f): The Issuer will publish any inside information relating to the Transaction without delay.
		Art. 7 (1) (g): The Servicer will publish information in respect of any significant event without delay.



As a result of the verifications documented above, we confirm to **Volkswagen Leasing GmbH** that the STS criteria pursuant to the Articles 19 to 22 of the European Regulation (EU) 2017/2402 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2017 laying down a general framework for securitisation and creating a specific framework for simple, transparent and standardised securitisation, and amending Directives 2009/65/EC, 2009/138/EC and 2011/61/EU and Regulations (EC) No 1060/2009 and (EU) No 648/2012 for the transaction "VCL 43" have been fulfilled.

## **SVI** contact details:

#### **Michael Osswald**

Managing Director STS Verification International GmbH Mainzer Landstrasse 61 60329 Frankfurt am Main +49 69 8740 344-10 michael.osswald@svi-gmbh.com

## **Marco Pause**

Director STS Verification International GmbH Mainzer Landstrasse 61 60329 Frankfurt am Main +49 69 8740 344-43 marco.pause@svi-gmbh.com

## Salah Maklada

Director STS Verification International GmbH Mainzer Landstrasse 61 60329 Frankfurt am Main +49 69 8740 344-45 salah.maklada@svi-gmbh.com

## Mario Venosa

Associate Director STS Verification International GmbH Mainzer Landstrasse 61 60329 Frankfurt am Main +49 69 8740 344-42 mario.venosa@svi-qmbh.com